Duration of general practitioner contracts

**BACKGROUND** The regular GP (RGP) scheme is intended to promote continuity in the relationship between doctor and patient. The duration of GP contracts is therefore a key factor in the success of the scheme. This study examines how long the GP contracts last and whether their duration varies according to doctors’ gender and age, municipality size and list size.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD** The study encompasses 7,359 GP contracts throughout Norway, entered into between municipalities and doctors in the period 1 May 2001–1 May 2014. Duration is measured as the time from which the contract was signed until its expiry or the end of the study period. The material was analysed with measures of central tendencies and dispersion, Kaplan-Meier survival curve analysis and Cox proportional hazards regression.

**RESULTS** Median duration of a GP contract at the time of the study was 5.91 years. It varied between 2.75 years in the smallest municipalities and 8.37 years in the largest ones. The duration of a GP contract increased significantly if the doctor was a woman, or with the doctor’s age at the start of the contract, increased municipality size and increased list size.

**INTERPRETATION** If it is assumed that continuity in the doctor-patient relationship provides a qualitatively better GP service, the results indicate that patients in small municipalities are generally offered a lower-quality service than patients in large municipalities.

A key intention behind the introduction of the GP contract scheme was to promote continuity in the doctor-patient relationship (1). In the context of general practice this is an important quality indicator (2). Establishing continuity in the relationship sets requirements for both parties—patient must choose to maintain contact with the doctor over time, and the doctor must stay in the same job. The choices made by doctors govern the duration of the GP agreement with the municipality and thus determine whether the RGP scheme will be successful.

On the basis of the Statistics Norway’s living conditions panel, Finnvold and collaborators estimated that an average doctor-patient relationship had a duration of 9.7 years in 2000. In 2003, two years after the introduction of the RGP scheme, the average had fallen to 7.7 years (3). The duration fell because a number of new doctor-patient relationships were established while some long-term relationships were terminated as a result of the reform.

In his summary of the research-based evaluation of the RGP reform, Sandvik (4) ascertained that «in parallel with the RGP scheme, the density of doctors has improved considerably and stability is high among the RGPs. However, some municipalities are still facing problems in terms of scarcity of doctors». Since this evaluation we have found no studies that have investigated whether the objectives related to establishment of continuity in the doctor-patient relationship have been achieved.

This study is based on the population of GP contracts signed in the course of the first 13 years that this scheme has been in effect. We ask:

- How is the gender and age composition of the population of RGPs changing?
- How long do the GP contracts last, and how does this duration vary in accordance with the doctors’ age and gender, the size of the municipalities and the list size?
- How many of the doctors who terminate a GP contract later establish a new one?

**Material and method**

The investigation included all of the 7,359 GP contracts signed by a municipality and a doctor during the period from 1 May 2001 to 1 May 2014 (the study period). GP contracts signed in the pilot municipalities of Lillehammer, Åsnes, Trondheim and Tromsø before 1 May 2001 were excluded (n = 178).

In addition to these 7,359 GP contracts we analyse lists that have been in effect for a shorter or longer period and are not associated with a named doctor with a GP contract, i.e. lists served by locum doctors hired by the municipality (n = 1,091).

The data material has been retrieved from the RGP registry, which is administered by HELFO. The municipalities are responsible for reporting information on new GP contracts and amendments to existing contracts to HELFO. This material has been supplied by the Directorate of Health upon application.

Each of the GP contracts included in the analysis was registered with the following characteristics: number of inhabitants in the municipality as of 1 January 2014, the doctor’s gender and year of birth, start date and end date of the GP contract (if terminated...
The data material was analysed with the aid of Statistical analyses, for which Kaplan-Meier survival curves and Cox’s regression model for proportional hazards are key methods (5).

We have used Kaplan-Meier survival curves to show how the duration of GP contracts changes over time. The curves show the proportion of the GP contracts that remain in effect (have survived) after a specified period of time.

Furthermore, we have implemented a Cox regression model for proportional hazards, in which the duration of the GP contract (i.e. the time elapsing from the signature of the GP contract to its termination or to the end of the study period) is the dependent variable. The analysis includes a dichotomous censoring variable which equals 1 if the GP contract was terminated during the study period and 0 if the GP contract remained in effect at the censoring date (1 May 2014). The analysis included the doctor’s gender, the doctor’s age at the time of signature of the contract, the size of the municipality and the list size as independent variables.

The independent variables are included in the regression analysis as dummy variables. Age at entry into the contracts was grouped into five equally sized groups. List size was similarly grouped into three equally sized groups. As regards the size of the municipalities, we distinguished between small (fewer than 2 000 inhabitants), medium-sized (2 000–9 999 inhabitants) and large municipalities (10 000–19 999 inhabitants) and large municipalities (20 000+ inhabitants or more), in line with Statistics Norway’s categorisation of municipalities by size (6). A key statistical measure in our analysis is the estimated relative likelihood (hazard ratio) of survival of a GP contract when comparing RGP who have different values on one of the independent variables.

The data analyses were undertaken with the aid of the IBM SPSS Statistics version 22 software package.

Results

Table 1 summarises characteristics of GP contracts signed during the first 13 years that this scheme has existed (i.e. the period from 1 May 2001 until 1 May 2014). On 1 May 2001, a total of 3 393 GP contracts were signed by a woman doctor and also with rising age of the doctor at the time of signing, with increasing size of the municipality and increasing list size.

The association between age at the time of signing and duration of the GP contract is non-linear. This is mainly due to the fact that many older doctors signed a GP contract when this scheme was introduced.

Age at termination

Of all the GP contracts that were terminated during the study period, altogether 11.2% were terminated by doctors who were aged 67 years or older. This proportion varied with the size of the municipality: it was lowest in municipalities with fewer than 5 000 inhabitants.
tants (5%) and highest in municipalities with
20 000 or more inhabitants (16.2%).

In municipalities with fewer than 5 000
inhabitants, one-half of the GP contracts had
been terminated when the doctor was aged
40 years or younger. The equivalent figure
from municipalities with 20 000 inhabitants
or more was aged 51 years or younger.

**Turnover**

The turnover rate has increased from 6.4 %
in 2002 to 7.3 % in 2013. During the entire
period, this rate has been somewhat higher
among men than among women, and it has
increased for both genders. For men, the
proportion amounted to 6.4 % in 2002 and
7.7 % in 2013, for women it amounted to
6.2 % in 2002 and 6.8 % in 2013. In 2002,
the number of GP contracts signed per
month varied from 6 to 41, and in 2013 the
monthly figure ranged from 16 to 79.

**Termination of one contract
and establishment of a new one**

The 7 359 GP contracts encompassed by the
study period were signed by a total of 6 476
different doctors, meaning an average of
1.14 GP contracts per doctor. Table 3 shows
that 5 704 (88.1 %) of the doctors esta-
blished only a single GP contract during the
study period. The majority (1 985 doctors,
93.3 %) of the 2 098 doctors who no longer
had a GP contract as of 1 May 2014 had sig-
ned only one contract during the study
period. Altogether 333 doctors (15.9 % of
those who had no contract as of 1 May 2014)
were aged 67 years or older when the con-
tract was terminated.

Among those 11.9 % who terminated a GP
contract and established a new one in the
course of the study period, a little more than
one-half (56.1 %) established a new contract
during the study period. The majority (1 985 doctors,
93.3 %) of the 2 098 doctors who no longer
had a GP contract as of 1 May 2014 had sig-
ned only one contract during the study
period. Altogether 333 doctors (15.9 % of
those who had no contract as of 1 May 2014)
were aged 67 years or older when the con-
tract was terminated.

**Discussion**

The analysis reveals major variations in the
duration of the GP contracts, showing that
the duration depends on the doctor’s gender
and age at signature, the list size and the
number of inhabitants in the municipality. In
the study period, the median duration of a
GP contract was more than three times lon-
ger in municipalities with 50 000 inhabitants
or more, when compared to municipalities
with fewer than 2 000 inhabitants. Our data
show that lists that have not been linked to a
named doctor and thus have been served by
one or more locum doctors over a shorter or
longer period are mainly found in the small
municipalities. This indicates that the chal-

**Figure 1** Annual accession and attrition of GP contracts with male doctors for the period 1 May 2001 – 31 December 2013. Linear trend lines. The linear trend lines include 2001, for which we have data for no more than 9 months.

**Figure 2** Annual accession and attrition of GP contracts with female doctors for the period 1 May 2001 – 31 December 2013. Linear trend lines. The linear trend lines include 2001, for which we have data for no more than 9 months.
Challenges associated with instability in the provision of RGP services in the small municipalities are greater than might be assumed from Figure 3.

If we assume that continuity in the doctor-patient relationship makes for better quality of general practice, the results indicate that patients in small municipalities in general are provided with services of a quality that is inferior to the services provided to patients in larger municipalities. When the doctor and the patient meet repeatedly over a long period of time, trust can be established. The same applies to the collaborative relationship between the RGP and other service providers in the municipality.

The RGP can accumulate comprehensive knowledge about the patient’s overall life situation, which may be an advantage for other medical assessments, and also establish a network of other service providers, which may be an advantage for provision of treatment. However, the goal of continuity in the RGP scheme has not been operationalised in terms of a specific duration that a doctor-patient relationship should have in order to be regarded as having continuity.

The assertion of a consistent and significant association between continuity in the doctor-patient relationship on the one hand and patient satisfaction on the other is backed by solid documentation (7). In the DIFI survey in 2015, the average score for satisfaction with RGPs amounted to 75 in the population as a whole (on a scale from 0 to 100). In municipalities with fewer than 5,000 inhabitants the average score amounted to 73, while reaching 74 in municipalities with more than 110,000 inhabitants (8). In other words, those who are least satisfied with the RGP service live in the very smallest and very largest municipalities. The differences are minor, however, leading us to assume that factors other than continuity in the doctor-patient relationship may also have an effect on patient satisfaction.

The average age of doctors with a GP contract was higher in 2014 than in 2001 and had increased for men and women alike. In 2014, the majority of the RGPs were still men, even though the proportion of women had increased during the study period. According to figures from the Norwegian Medical Association (9), the proportion of women among doctors aged younger than 70 years increased by 42% from 2002 to 2014. In comparison, our figures show that the proportion of women among the RGPs increased by no more than 33% over the same period. In other words, the job of RGP appears to be fundamentally less attractive to women than to men. However, the risk of termination of a GP contract is significantly lower for women doctors than for men. This indicates that when women have made the choice to become an RGP, they are somewhat more stable in the position than men.

Increased list size is also associated with increased contract duration. The main model in the RGP scheme is based on private enterprise, in which earnings are essentially dependent on the size of the individual doctor’s patient population. Having a large list tends to be a precondition for the ability to establish and operate a financially sound private practice. We know that there is a considerable amount of self-selection of doctors to different business models (10), but there

Table 2 Hazard ratio for termination of GP contracts. Cox’s regression analysis (N = 7,359)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>Hazard ratio [95% CI]</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Man</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>0.83 (0.76 – 0.90)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 31 years</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 – 35 years</td>
<td>0.83 (0.73 – 0.94)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 – 42 years</td>
<td>0.65 (0.58 – 0.74)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 – 49 years</td>
<td>0.43 (0.37 – 0.48)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 50 years</td>
<td>0.88 (0.79 – 0.98)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipality &lt; 5,000 inhabitants</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipality 5,000–19,999 inhabitants</td>
<td>0.72 (0.66 – 0.80)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipality ≥ 20,000 inhabitants</td>
<td>0.58 (0.52 – 0.64)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List size &lt; 900 patients</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List size 900–1,200 patients</td>
<td>0.64 (0.59 – 0.70)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List size &gt; 1,200 patients</td>
<td>0.37 (0.33 – 0.41)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 Duration of all GP contracts signed in the period 1 May 2001 – 1 May 2014, by different groups of municipalities (n = 7,359). Kaplan-Meier curve.
Table 3 Doctors by the number of GP contracts in the study period and by whether they still remained RGPs as of 1 May 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of GP contracts signed per doctor</th>
<th>Total in the study period</th>
<th>With a GP contract as of 1 May 2014</th>
<th>With no GP contract as of 1 May 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>5 704 (88.1)</td>
<td>3 746 (85.5)</td>
<td>1 958 (93.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>679 (10.5)</td>
<td>553 (11.7)</td>
<td>126 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>80 (1.2)</td>
<td>70 (1.6)</td>
<td>10 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than three</td>
<td>13 (0.2)</td>
<td>9 (0.2)</td>
<td>4 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6 476 (100.0)</td>
<td>4 378 (100.0)</td>
<td>2 098 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Lists with no doctor in the period 1 May 2001–1 May 2014. Total number and median duration without any doctor by different groups of municipalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group of municipalities</th>
<th>Number of lists with no doctor (%)</th>
<th>Median number of months with no doctor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 2 000 inhabitants</td>
<td>164 (15)</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 000–4 999 inhabitants</td>
<td>292 (27)</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 000–9 999 inhabitants</td>
<td>218 (20)</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 000–19 999 inhabitants</td>
<td>190 (19)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 000–49 999 inhabitants</td>
<td>146 (13)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50 000 inhabitants</td>
<td>81 (7)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1 091 (100)</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

is also reason to assume that the choice of private enterprise is so encompassing that it serves as a stabilising factor in itself. Halvorsen and collaborators (11) as well as Holte and collaborators (12) have shown that the main salary model based on private practice is more compatible with the preferences of RGPs in populous municipalities, while RGPs in smaller municipalities tend to prefer a fixed salary.

Most likely, the duration of the GP contracts is also influenced by factors other than those we have controlled for. There is reason to assume that the burden of on-call duty may play a role. On-call duty is arduous. Even though on-call duty is mandatory for RGPs, their participation, and thus probably also the workload, is greater among RGPs in rural areas (13). Small lists in small municipalities may free up more doctors to share the on-call duty. Moreover, small lists are likely to provide for working conditions that suit patients in large municipalities. In the small municipalities, GP contracts have a significantly shorter duration than in the large ones. In addition, many small municipalities face challenges in terms of long periods of time when a list is left without any permanent doctor. There may be reason to believe that a greater number of women in the RGP population would result in a longer duration for GP contracts.

Conclusion
If we assume that continuity in the doctor-patient relationship provides for better quality in general practice, the results indicate that patients in small municipalities are generally offered a lower-quality service than patients in large municipalities. In the small municipalities, GP contracts have a significantly shorter duration than in the large ones. In addition, many small municipalities face challenges in terms of long periods of time when a list is left without any permanent doctor. There may be reason to believe that a greater number of women in the RGP population would result in a longer duration for GP contracts.
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