Follow-up of postpartum anal sphincter injuries
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BACKGROUND
A number of women who incur anal sphincter injuries during childbirth develop severe anal incontinence. The purpose of our study was to survey the follow-up and treatment of anal sphincter injuries in the first two years postpartum.

MATERIAL AND METHOD
Data on all women who have undergone vaginal birth with the diagnosis code third or fourth degree perineal rupture in Norway in the period 1.1.2012–31.12.2013 were obtained from the Medical Birth Registry of Norway. These data were linked to the Norwegian Patient Registry to chart any treatment with sacral neuromodulation or sphincteroplasty up to two years postpartum. A questionnaire survey of procedures for following up patients with perineal rupture was also conducted among maternity departments in Norway.
RESULTS

1,999 women had suffered third or fourth degree perineal rupture during childbirth during the study period. Twelve (0.6%) of them had undergone sphincteroplasty, while none had been treated with sacral neuromodulation. Almost all of them were followed up by the hospital 6–12 months after giving birth, but few hospitals used a validated scoring system to assess the degree of anal incontinence.

INTERPRETATION

Very few women with third or fourth degree perineal rupture were treated for severe anal incontinence with sphincteroplasty or sacral neuromodulation within two years of giving birth.

It should be possible to improve follow-up of this patient group, for example by routinely assessing the degree of anal incontinence with the aid of St. Mark's scoring six months after the injury occurred. Those with moderate to severe anal incontinence should have an ultrasound scan and be assessed for sphincteroplasty or sacral neuromodulation.

Vaginal birth is often the best option for both mother and child, and as a rule proceeds without serious complications. However some women incur tears in the perineum during a vaginal birth. The extent of the injury is graded from 1 to 4 (1, 2) (Box 1).

Box 1 Degree of perineal rupture (2)

First degree: Superficial injury of perineum or vaginal mucosa
Second degree: Deep perineal injury with affection of perineal musculature, without affection of external anal sphincters
Third degree: Injuries involving anal sphincters
Fourth degree: Perineal injury involving anal sphincters and rectal mucosa

Around 900 women incur third or fourth degree perineal ruptures each year in Norway (3). The injury is repaired immediately after the birth by the obstetrician suturing the anal sphincters. However, several studies show that at least one third of women with such injuries experience leakage of gas or of both gas and faecal matter despite receiving treatment (4, 5). Some only experience problems many years later, others immediately after the injury has occurred. A Norwegian study from 2011 showed that 6% of women with third or fourth degree perineal ruptures experienced faecal incontinence ten months postpartum and 21% experienced gas incontinence (6). Other studies have shown that these problems are strongly related to permanent damage to the anal sphincters (7–10).

All patients with perineal rupture are recommended to go to a physiotherapist for pelvic floor exercises (2), and some of those who develop anal incontinence experience an improvement in their symptoms during the first few months (11, 12). As a result, 4-5 months usually elapse before it is possible to say whether the symptoms are persistent, and sometimes up to a year before physiotherapy has been attempted to an adequate extent. Patients with persistent problems should undergo a surgical procedure, either sphincteroplasty or sacral neuromodulation. Around 35-45 sphincteroplasty procedures are performed in Norway each year, and 45-55 sacral neuromodulations (13).

The main purpose of this study was to investigate what proportions of patients with third or fourth degree perineal rupture undergo either sphincteroplasty or sacral neuromodulation within two years of childbirth. We also wanted to survey how women with this type of injury are followed up by maternity departments in Norway.
Material and method

De-identified data on all women who have undergone vaginal birth and received the diagnosis perineal rupture third or fourth degree in Norway in the period 1.1.2012–31.12.2013 were obtained from the Medical Birth Registry of Norway. The dataset was linked to the Norwegian Patient Registry to find out how many of these patients have undergone either sphincteroplasty or sacral neuromodulation within two years of incurring the injury. The de-identified dataset was received by the authors after the link had been made by executive officers at the Medical Birth Registry and the Norwegian Patient Registry (with the aid of encrypted files and linkage keys). We did not at any time have access to identifiable information about the patients in this study.

The dataset from the Medical Registry of Births included variables such as the mother’s age, parity, height and weight. The child’s month and year of birth were also listed. The dataset from the Patient Registry included information on place of treatment, surgical method used and procedure date.

The procedures for follow-up of women with third and fourth degree perineal rupture were surveyed by means of a questionnaire sent to all maternity departments in Norway (see appendix). Questions asked included what information, written and/or verbal, the women received before discharge, who they were told to contact in the event of symptoms of anal incontinence, how many follow-up appointments the women were called in to as a matter of routine, and how many months after the birth. The maternity departments were asked to send us any written information they issued to the women, and were asked where they referred women who developed anal incontinence.

The study received advance approval from the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics (reference no. 344/16) and from the Research Committee at Innlandet Hospital Trust. The Ethics Committee granted exemption from the need to obtain the participants’ consent because the datasets were retrieved in de-identified form.

Results

There were a total of 98 000 vaginal births in Norway in the course of the inclusion period (2012–2013), and the incidence of third and fourth degree perineal rupture was 2 % (1 999 women) (3). The average age of these women at the time of the birth was 29.8 years, and over two thirds were primipara. Twelve (0.6 %) of the women had sphincteroplasty, 14 months on average into the follow-up period, while none underwent sacral neuromodulation.

Almost all (41 of 45) maternity departments responded to the questionnaire on their procedures for follow-up of women with third and fourth degree postnatal perineal rupture. All the maternity departments that responded informed patients verbally that they might experience gas or faecal leakage after the injury. Most also informed patients that they might experience dyspareunia. The same information, including advice on training with a physiotherapist, was provided in writing at 37 of the 41 maternity departments.

All departments called the women in for an outpatients check-up after the birth, but the number of follow-up appointments they received varied, as did the number of months after childbirth that the follow-up took place (Fig. 1). Five maternity departments offered gynaecological follow-up for three months, while eight offered the same follow-up for up to twelve months postpartum. Only two maternity departments referred all patients for a routine endoanal ultrasound scan six months postpartum and used a validated anal incontinence scoring system.
Discussion

A surprisingly small proportion (0.6 %) of women with third or fourth degree perineal rupture were treated for severe anal incontinence in the course of the first two years postpartum, despite the fact that international and Norwegian studies have shown that 6–23 % of these patients develop anal incontinence with faecal leakage (6, 10, 14, 15). Twelve women underwent sphincteroplasty, but none had sacral neuromodulation. Most women should have conservative treatment with physiotherapy as well as assessment and surgery in the event of persistent problems up to two years after giving birth. Patients who underwent sphincteroplasty were treated 14 months postpartum on average. We see no obvious reason why assessment and sacral neuromodulation therapy should take more than two years.

Norwegian maternity departments have sound procedures for informing women with third or fourth degree perineal rupture that they may experience gas or faecal leakage, and who they should contact if that happens, but not all provide patients with written information, although this is recommended in the national Veiled i fødselshjelp [Obstetrics guidelines] (2)

Conservative treatment with physiotherapy is offered at all maternity departments. At the same time, few departments offer more than one gynaecological outpatients follow-up appointment, and the offer is seldom extended for more than six months. According to several studies, six months is the optimal follow-up time, because spontaneous resolution of anal incontinence cannot be expected once 4–5 months have passed since childbirth (12, 13). Norway also offers longer parental leave than other countries, and some women may experience considerable distress due to anal incontinence when their parental leave is over...
and they resume work or studies. The optimal solution would probably be to offer follow-up for all women six months after childbirth and after twelve months for those with persistent problems.

Very few women raise the subject of anal incontinence with medical personnel of their own accord (7, 14, 16, 17). It is therefore conceivable that using an objective scoring system in connection with follow-up would lead to more women being referred in time for further assessment and treatment. Only three maternity departments reported using a validated anal incontinence scoring system, while only two of these routinely performed endoanal ultrasound scans.

We recommend using St Mark’s scoring system for assessing anal incontinence, as it provides a clear picture of severity, and can be used to monitor symptom development over time. This is one of the most widely used anal incontinence scoring systems in Norway. Scores are based on the patient’s symptoms over the previous four weeks, and have proved to be in agreement with how the patients themselves experience the severity of their symptoms (18, 19). The highest possible score is 24, which equates with complete anal incontinence (Box 2). The severity increases with the patient’s degree of faecal leakage. Most studies classify anal incontinence without faecal leakage as low-grade. If there is faecal leakage, the degree is assessed as moderate or severe, depending on the frequency of the leakage episodes and the impact on quality of life (8, 16, 20–25). A St Mark’s score of over 8 implies moderate or severe anal incontinence (19).

**Box 2** St Mark’s scores for anal incontinence. The various possible responses are weighted differently. For calculation of total scores (maximum 24) see Maeda (18) and Roos (19).

Questions where the patient responds with a score of 0–4 (never–daily):

- Solid stool leakage
- Liquid stool leakage
- Gas leakage
- How often have leakage problems restricted your everyday activities?

Questions to which the patient answers yes or no:

- Need to use a pad or plug because of stool leakage
- Use of continence medication
- Inability to postpone defecation for 15 minutes

An ultrasound scan is an important part of the assessment to detect the cause of anal incontinence problems (16, 26, 27). Damage to the anal sphincters during vaginal childbirth is usually the cause, but conditions such as rectal prolapse, anal fistula, malabsorption, inflammatory bowel disease and irritable bowel can also cause anal incontinence. In order for the correct treatment to be given, the cause of the problem must be known (28). Endoanal ultrasound is regarded as the most reliable method of detecting damage to the anal sphincters, but transperineal ultrasound has also proved suitable for excluding injury (29). However, transperineal ultrasound findings should always be confirmed with endoanal ultrasound, as the former have a low positive predictive value compared with the latter (30–32). The advantage of transperineal ultrasound is that the equipment is more readily available than that used for endoanal ultrasound. The examiner’s experience is
important in both modalities for avoiding misinterpretation (33).

A key strength of the study is that we have used health registers of high quality as the source of our study population. On the other hand, we did not receive information about the problems the women had, which must be regarded as the greatest weakness of the study. The findings of this study indicate that the follow-up of women who incur a third or fourth degree perineal rupture can be improved. All maternity departments should provide written information about the symptoms that may develop and where women can turn for help. Routine follow-up should also be conducted six months after the injury occurred, and St. Mark’s scoring should be used. Those who are found to have moderate or severe anal incontinence should have an ultrasound scan of the anal sphincters and be considered for treatment in the form of either sphincteroplasty or sacral neuromodulation.

MAIN FINDINGS

Twelve of 1,999 women with perineal rupture underwent surgery within two years of childbirth.

Two of 41 maternity departments routinely referred patients for endoanal ultrasound scan and used validated anal incontinence scoring systems.
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